

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary****Application No.**

10/502,065

**Applicant(s)**

LAUTT ET AL

**Examiner**SATYANARAYANA R.  
GUDIBANDE**Art Unit**

1654

**All Participants:**(1) SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE(2) Mr. Brian Dorn**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 10 September 2009**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.****Rejection(s) discussed:**

None

**Claims discussed:**

7, 9, 10, 12, 18 and 29-31

**Prior art documents discussed:**

None

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Mr. Dorn was contacted with a proposed examiner's amendment to place the application in condition for allowance. Mr. Dorn accepted the amendments.

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Satyanarayana R Gudibande/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1654

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)